

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR      | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/974,629                        | 10/10/2001      | Laurence Beck nee Trescol | F7561(V)            | 8478             |
| 201                               | 7590 06/30/2004 |                           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| UNILEVER                          |                 | PADEN, CAROLYN A          |                     |                  |
| PATENT DEPARTMENT                 |                 |                           | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 45 RIVER ROAD EDGEWATER, NJ 07020 |                 |                           |                     |                  |
|                                   |                 | DATE MAILED: 06/20/2004   |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ·   |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|----|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.             |                       | Applicant(s)   |    |  |  |  |
|   | 09/974,629                  | 9                     | BECK NEE TRESCOL ET AL.                                    |    |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner                    |                       | Art Unit   |    |  |  |  |
|   | Carolyn A F                 |                       | 1761   |    |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication  | appears on the              | cover sheet with the  | correspondence address                                     |    |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 May 2004</u> .   |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| •   | This action is I            | non-final.            |  | !  |  |  |  |
| 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for al   | lowance except              | for formal matters, p | rosecution as to the merits                                | is |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>  |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.   |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected.  |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-11</u> is/are objected to.  |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                             |                       |  |    |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N   | 8)<br>o(s) <u>5-24-04</u> . | · =                   | rry (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  I Patent Application (PTO-152) | _• |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/974,629

Art Unit: 1761

The request filed on May 24, 2004 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/974,629 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-7, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Derwent Abstract of Japanese 62-220186.

Derwent Abstract discloses stabilizing living microbes by incorporating them into a water in oil in water emulsion. The microbe utilized in the application is Bifidis (see the Table on page 429). The products made by the process include yoghurt and liquid yoghurt. The pH of the formulation is at about 4.0. The product is stated for use in a lactic acid bacterium-drink. The claims appear to differ from the reference in the suggestion of that the product contains a specific

Application/Control Number: 09/974,629

Art Unit: 1761

level of organic acids in it. No specific percentage of organic acids are mentioned in the Derwent Abstract but the final product is a lactic acid bacterium drink. Thus one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected a detectable amount of lactic acid to be in the product. The fact that the reference does not mention the specific level of lactic acid does not alone constitute unobviousness. The claims also appear to differ from the reference in the suggestion of an internal aqueous phase with a maximal acidity level. But one of ordinary skill in the art would not expect the internal aqueous phase to have too much acid because the Derwent Abstract states that the internal phase protects living microbes from an acidic medium. Claims 12 and 13 are directed to dressing or side sauce but yoghurt is well known in the art, particularly in Indian cuisines, to be useful as a salad dressing or side sauce. It would have been obvious at the time of applicant's invention to utilize the product of Derwent Abstract as the product of the claims in order to provide for an easier access to fermented foods.

Claims 8-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/974,629

Art Unit: 1761

independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is 703-308-3294. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

CAROLYN PADEN 6-25-04 PRIMARY EXAMINER 1761